Case 1:15-cv-04282-RWS Document 42 Filed 07/27/15 Page 1 of 11 1

F7ELELSC TELEPHONE CONFERENCE 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 ELSEVIER INC., et al., Plaintiffs, 4 5 15 CV 4282 V. SCI-HUB, et al., 6 7 Defendants. 8 New York, N.Y. 9 July 14, 2015 4:08 p.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. RONNIE ABRAMS, 12 District Judge 13 APPEARANCES 14 DEVORE & DEMARCO, LLP 15 Attorneys for Plaintiffs BY: JOSEPH DEMARCO 16 DAVID HIRSCHBERG 17 ALEXANDRA ELBAKYAN, Pro Se Defendant 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(In chambers; all parties appearing via speakerphone) THE COURT: Hi, this is Judge Abrams.

MR. DEMARCO: Good afternoon, your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon. So, Mr. DeMarco, I have you and your colleagues on the line.

> And, Ms. Elbakyan, are you there as well? MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon.

So, Ms. Elbakyan, last time we spoke you indicated that you were going to file an opposition to the plaintiff's complaint and motion for preliminary injunction. received anything. But I did separately issue an order asking you if you would like the Court to attempt to locate you pro bono counsel, which means an attorney who might be willing to represent you free of charge.

Is that something that you're interested in? MS. ELBAKYAN: No, but I have additional question. spoke to pro se and they told me they don't accept anything by email. They only accept by mail.

THE COURT: That may be. Look, it's completely up to you whether you get an attorney. I look at this case and I think that there are a lot of complicated or potentially complicated legal issues regarding jurisdiction or what extraterritorial relief could be ordered and a number of sort of issues that are complicated under U.S. law.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know if a lawyer would be willing to represent you, but one might be willing to do that and that person could handle all the filings, so, to the extent you're having trouble filing things. But if you're not interested, that's fine. It's really up to you. MS. ELBAKYAN: But I want additional time to find a

lawyer because, for example --

THE COURT: I'm sorry, could you repeat that, please? MS. ELBAKYAN: I need additional time to find a lawyer.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. ELBAKYAN: Could you delay the hearing until August 21.

> THE COURT: August 21, is that what you asked for? MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. DeMarco.

MR. DEMARCO: So, obviously, your Honor, if the defendant plans on finding an attorney to represent her in court by filing a notice of appearance, i.e., an attorney admitted before the court and plans to retain counsel in order to litigate the matter, then obviously, you know, we consent to that.

I am concerned, though, that we're going to come back either on the 21st or the week before for a prehearing conference and the defendant will not have secured a lawyer. Ι

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think your Honor has given the defendants ample time to respond and, you know, we don't have any opposition papers yet. obviously if the defendant wants more time to find an attorney, we will consent to that limited extension. But I think we would request that there be no additional extensions on that basis at that time.

I understand. Let me ask you this, THE COURT: Mr. DeMarco. I know you had previously expressed a concern about having this jump from judge to judge, which frankly I understand. Judge Sweet is back, as I understand it, in mid-September. Is it your preference, if I were to grant this request for an adjournment until August 21 for Ms. Elbakyan to attempt to secure an attorney, would your preference be to proceed on August 21 or for me to speak to Judge Sweet's chambers and schedule it a day after he has returned and, therefore, one judge will be handling it; do you have a preference in that respect?

MR. DEMARCO: I think our only preference is that it not preambulate to yet another judge. We're indifferent as between your Honor and Judge Sweet. I think you both have now read the papers. We have a slight preference for proceeding on the 21st if your Honor is available. But as between proceeding with a third judge on the 21st versus waiting for Judge Sweet in early September, I think we'd be fine waiting until early September.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

That may not answer your question or help you, but I think that's what our thinking is.

THE COURT: It does. I'm unavailable on August 21. So I think if the hearing were scheduled then, it would be before the Part I judge then. And I apologize for this; I know that can be frustrating. And that's why I asked you because I'm trying to be fair and take into consideration the need from your client's perspective to move as quickly as possible, but I also want to balance that against the inconvenience and the difficulty that you may face if a number of different judges are involved.

MR. DEMARCO: I think if we're talking between the 21st and another judge versus September and Judge Sweet, we would prefer September and Judge Sweet.

THE COURT: Okay. So what I'm going to do is I'm going to call his chambers and schedule it, once I speak to them, as soon as he's available to do it in September.

Ms. Elbakyan, I just want to make sure you understand this. I am on what we call Part I. It essentially means that I'm the emergency judge for a few weeks. And the judge whose case this is, to whom this case is assigned, is a judge by the name of Judge Sweet and he is back in September.

And so what I think I'm going to do is I'm going to grant your request for additional time to submit an opposition and to attempt to get an attorney. But I'm going to talk to

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

his chambers, his office, before I schedule a final date for the hearing. But I expect right now that that date, it will be after the August 21 date you asked for. It will either be August 21 or, if he'll be back in early September or mid-September, I'll schedule it in mid-September before Judge Sweet.

Do you understand that?

MS. ELBAKYAN: September is good.

THE COURT: Okay. So that's what I'm going to do. But I will tell you, Ms. Elbakyan, you have to move quickly both in attempting to retain an attorney and you'll have to stick to the schedule that is set once it's set. So I am going to give you additional time to attempt to obtain an attorney and to file your opposition and, presumably, your attorney will file that for you. But you will have to stick to those dates. So you will not be permitted in September to ask for additional time, okay, because we're giving you over approximately two months. So you need to work fast and be prepared to have a hearing in September. Do you understand that?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes, I understand.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DEMARCO: Your Honor, just a couple of things. First is perhaps your Honor could set some of those dates, i.e., a date by which the defendant must retain an attorney and file any papers either through that attorney or, if she's not

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

going to be represented, she can't find an attorney, through the pro se office by mailing them to the pro se office well in advance of that date.

And then the second thing was another thought occurred to me, your Honor, which is that since we're dealing here with just one of multiple defendants and remaining defendants have not appeared and are in default, one other option to keep the matter moving forward potentially would be for us to appear before your Honor on Tuesday only with respect to the other defendants and presumably move on our papers at that time if your Honor is amenable.

THE COURT: I think it's best to have it all happen at one hearing and it should I think happen before Judge Sweet in light of our prior discussion and the reason is that based on my recollection of the last call, Ms. Elbakyan has admitted her involvement in at least some of the corporate defendants and, therefore, it may be that when she gets an attorney, that attorney represents one or more of the corporate entities as well as her individually.

And so just so we're not in a situation where we have a motion for default and then perhaps a motion to vacate that, I think it's best to give her time and a specific amount of time to attempt to obtain an attorney and then to move forward with the hearing at once. But I understand why you asked, but I think that's best.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Ms. Elbakyan, I just want to make something clear to you which is that you need to have an attorney who's able to represent you here in New York. Do you understand that?

> MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So it needs to be someone who is admitted to the bar here or is working with someone who can get them temporarily admitted or, as we call it, pro hac vice here in New York. If you want the Court to attempt to locate an attorney for you, you'll have to tell me within the next week. Otherwise, I assume that you will try to get an attorney. And what I'm going to do -- how much time do you think it will take you to do that? Because, again, I want to set firm dates so that this doesn't continually get pushed off. How much time do you think you'll need to get an attorney?

MS. ELBAKYAN: I think I need at least one month or more.

THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to give you until that August 21 date to get an attorney to file a notice of appearance, okay. And then I'm going to give you one week after that to have your attorney file an opposition to the plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction. Okay?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Okay.

THE COURT: And then I am going to give the plaintiffs one week to reply to that. So that's September 4, all right. So you have until August 21 to obtain an attorney. The sooner

TELEPHONE CONFERENCE

you do it, the better because then that attorney will have more time to get up to speed on your case and submit an opposition.

Okay?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Okay.

THE COURT: And then the plaintiffs will have until August 28 to reply. Excuse me. I'm sorry. I'm going to say that again because I don't think I was very clear.

So you'll have until August 21 to get an attorney file a notice of appearance. You'll have until August 28 to submit an opposition. And plaintiffs will have until September 4 to submit a reply. And then I will talk to Judge Sweet's chambers and schedule a date for the hearing in September. I expect that it will be the week of September 14, but I'm going to confirm that with him. Okay?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Okay.

THE COURT: But if you want the Court to get you an attorney, you need to do that one week from today, okay, you just need to tell us that you want us to do that. Otherwise, we're going to leave it to you to get your own attorney. Do you understand that?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So what I'm going to do is I'm going to, after I speak to Judge Sweet's chambers, I'm going to issue an order with these dates in it and I'm going to put it what we call on the docket and the plaintiffs will serve it on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you and then we'll proceed with this schedule and you will be before another judge in September.

MS. ELBAKYAN: Okav.

THE COURT: Mr. DeMarco, do you have any other thoughts or applications at this time?

MR. DEMARCO: Just two thoughts, your Honor. first is I think a week will be enough time to file any reply papers to any opposition papers, but obviously if we could with the Court's permission ask for more time in the event that the papers that oppose our application are voluminous. But, obviously, we can take that up with Judge Sweet.

THE COURT: That's fine. Frankly, right now as I've scheduled it, there are at least ten days between the opposition papers and the hearing. So I mean so I can give you a little more time now. Would it help to have until that Wednesday the 9th?

MR. DEMARCO: Sure.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DEMARCO: And then secondarily, in the event that the defendant on the phone would like the Court's help in finding an attorney, perhaps your Honor could just spell out what exactly is required of the defendant in order for her to do that. I think your Honor mentioned that in the prior order which was served on the defendant, but it may be worth going over that just so we don't have to all get on the phone again.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: That's fine. I'll put information in the order that I hope will be helpful to her.

So, again, Ms. Elbakyan, do you have any questions?

MS. ELBAKYAN: No.

THE COURT: Okay. Again, I urge you to try and get an attorney as soon as possible and submit your opposition as soon as possible. But, in any event, if you want the Court to assist you in getting an attorney, you've got to tell me a week from today.

MS. ELBAKYAN: Okay.

THE COURT: Are you still getting emails at the same address?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes, I still get emails.

THE COURT: At the same email address. Okay. I just wanted to confirm that so that we're able to contact you. I think that's it from my perspective, unless anyone has any other applications or questions.

MR. DEMARCO: We do not, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you both. Thank you all.

MR. DEMARCO: Thank you, your Honor.

THE COURT: We're adjourned. If you'd like a copy of this transcript, you can reach out to the court reporters, okay. You can go on the website SDreporters.com. Thank you.

000

24 25